## The mystery figure



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

#### Cosmic Web, IGM tomography and Clamato

Martin White

with K-G Lee, J. Hennawi, E. Kitanidis, P. Nugent, J. Prochaska, D. Schlegel, M.Schmittfull, C. Stark, et al.

http://clamato.lbl.gov

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Beyond power spectra ...

Give a cosmologist a map and they will reflexively take it's Fourier transform then throw away the phases ...

Hi, Dr. Elizabeth? Yeah, vh... I accidentally took the Fourier transform of my cat... Meow

xkcd.com/26

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### The cosmic web

- Sometimes you want a map!
- A natural outcome of gravitational instability from Gaussian ICs is a beaded filamentary network of voids, sheets, filaments and knots known as the cosmic web.
- All of galaxy and structure formation occurs in this context!
- Can we make a map of the large-scale structure with Mpc resolution over a representative volume of the Universe (10<sup>6</sup> h<sup>-3</sup>Mpc) with existing telescopes ... at z > 2?
- It's hard with galaxies, but ...



## Ly $\alpha$ forest tomography

If we take a spectrum of a background source, neutral H along the line of sight imprints (absorption) features: Ly $\alpha$  forest.



▲ロト▲圖ト▲画ト▲画ト 画 のべつ

## Ly $\alpha$ forest tomography

With the Ly $\alpha$  forest we get the line-of-sight sampling "for free", so we just need to get the transverse sampling high enough.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

## Source luminosity functions

To increase the sightline density we need to go beyond QSOs as backlights. Beyond  $g \sim 22 - 23$  LBGs dominate over QSOs.



Exponential increase in sightline density beyond  $g \simeq 23!$ 

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

#### **Requirements?**

- By g ≃ 24 sources (galaxies) are separated by arcmin on the sky! Can get S/N~few per Å with 3 hr integrations on Keck / LRIS-B.
- BOSS taught us that you can get a lot of information from low resolution spectra with low S/N – if you have a lot of them!
  - We're closer to measuring a "mean absorption" than individual absorption features.

Moderate resolution and S/N means that what looks like 30 m class science can be done (now!) with a 10 m!

What can you do with  $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$  sightlines per deg<sup>2</sup> at  $S/N \sim$  few per Å?

# Science with IGM tomography

- Finding protoclusters and (high z) voids.
  - Stark et al. (2015a,b) study the counts, profiles, radii, etc. of z ~ 2.5 protoclusters and voids as seen in Lyα tomography.
  - Find high completeness (> 75%) and purity (> 90%) for massive cluster progenitors.
  - Similarly good completeness and purity for large voids.
- Improving photo zs.
  - Low density regions occupy most of the volume of the Universe, but host essentially no massive halos (or galaxies).
  - Schmittfull & White (2016) show can improve photo zs for massive galaxies.
- Cosmic web classification
  - One can use a deformation tensor approach to characterize the cosmic web.
  - Lee & White (2016) show, in simulations, comparable performance at  $z \simeq 2.5$  to GAMA, using the same method, at  $z \simeq 0.25$ .
- ... and some other stuff ...

# CLAMATO

#### COSMOS Ly-Alpha Mapping And TOmography

- Survey to do Ly $\alpha$  forest tomography in the central  $1 \deg^2$  of the COSMOS field.
  - Overlaps CANDELS/3D-HST. Allows study of colors, morphology, SF rate, AGN activity, etc., as a function of large-scale environment.
  - Survey for protoclusters and voids.
  - Improved photo-z for galaxies in COSMOS.
  - Cosmic web classification.
  - Study CGM in protocluster foregrounds.
  - Cross-correlations and small-scale Ly $\alpha$  forest.
- ► Need 1 deg<sup>2</sup> in order to sample large structures, like protoclusters and voids.
- Goal:  $(60 \ h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc})^2 \times 300 \ h^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc} \sim 10^6 \ h^{-3} \mathrm{Mpc}^3$ .

- Survey in progress …
  - Currently have 124 sightlines.
  - Mean separation  $2.5 h^{-1}$ Mpc.
  - Lee et al. (2014ab, 2016)

#### Context and scales

Conveniently 1' and 1 Å are (about) a comoving Mpc at  $z\simeq 2.5$ 



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

## CLAMATO: Current status



Contours of the flux (overdensities are more blue) in our current data set ( $18 \times 24 \times 340 \ h^{-1}$ Mpc). Slices are placed at the redshifts of previously known proto-clusters.

## Joint fitting & Sampling

We have implemented a high-dimensional minimization and sampling scheme that allows us to generate (Gaussian) initial conditions which (when evolved and turned into Ly $\alpha$  flux) are consistent with the observed data and noise model.



We can use these to run constrained N-body simulations, jointly fit  $Ly\alpha$  and galaxy data sets, and propagate errors consistently.

## Conclusions

- ► IGM tomography is 'ideal' for measuring large-scale environments of galaxies and QSOs.
- Can find large, coherent objects spanning Mpc
  - Protoclusters
  - Voids
- ► Map LSS and decompose into filaments, sheets and halos.
- Improve photo-zs of galaxies using topology.
- Medium scale 3D Ly $\alpha$  clustering.
- Cross-correlations.

Clamato is underway, and so far things look good ...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## References and links

- Observational papers
  - Lee et al. (2014b; ApJ, 795, L12)
  - Lee et al. (2016a; ApJ, 817, 160)
  - Lee et al. (2016b; in prep.)
- Theory/Simulation papers
  - Lee et al. (2014a; ApJ, 788, 49)
  - Stark et al. (2015a; MNRAS, 453, 311)
  - Stark et al. (2015b; MNRAS, 453, 4311)
  - Lee & White (2016; ApJ, in press)
  - Schmittfull & White (2016; MNRAS, in press)
- Mock data sets and code
  - http://clamato.lbl.gov
- Movies and 3D visualizations
  - Video of 2015 map: https://youtu.be/KeW1UJOPMYI
  - 3D/Cardboard video of 2016 map: https://youtu.be/xV2Ng8n61Xc

# The End!

.

# Backup Slides

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

.

#### Technical details

- Program on Keck-I/LRIS-B ( $4' \times 7'$  FOV)
- ► Covers central 0.8 deg<sup>2</sup> in 90 pointings.
- Nominal limit g = 24.5 (about 25 per mask) with 3 hr exposures.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Yields 10 − 15 targets with proper z and S/N for reconstructing the 2.2 < z < 2.5 Lyα forest.</p>

#### Physics of the forest

- The physics of the forest is relatively straightforward.
- Gas making up the IGM is in photo-ionization equilibrium with a (uniform?) ionization field which results in a tight  $\rho T$  relation for the absorbing material.
- The neutral H density is proportional to a power of  $\rho_B$ .
- Since pressure forces are subdominant on "large" scales, the gas traces the DM.
- The structure in the spectrum traces, in a calculable way, the fluctuations in the density along the line of sight.
- Ab initio calculations of the forest perform quite well compared to observations.

e.g. Meiksin (2009)

#### Protocluster finding



Stark et al. (2015a)

#### Completeness and purity

- Stark et al. (2015a, 2015b) study the counts, profiles, radii, etc. of z ~ 2.5 protoclusters and voids as seen in Lyα tomography.
- ▶ Find high completeness (> 75%) and purity (> 90%) for tomographically selected samples of massive  $(> 3 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_{\odot})$  cluster progenitors for sightline separations at or better than  $4 h^{-1}$ Mpc.
- Even sightline separations above 10 h<sup>-1</sup>Mpc can be used to find the largest, earliest assembling protoclusters.
- Find similarly good completeness and purity for voids with radii > 6  $h^{-1}$ Mpc (~ 10<sup>2</sup> such voids per 1 deg<sup>2</sup> at z = 2.5).

#### **Protocluster Properties**



9

 $M(z=0) (h^{-1}M_{\odot})$ 

3

Э

#### Protocluster Completeness and Purity



## Void finding

It is also possible to find large <u>under</u>densities – in fact this is somewhat easier since voids aren't really empty, just underdense in galaxies (dots in left panel).



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Stark et al. (2015b)

## Voids at high z

Voids counts at z = 2.5



Synergistic with JWST-NIRSPEC to study sub- $L_{\star}$  void galaxies at  $z \simeq 2 - 3$ .



#### Protocluster Candidate: z = 2.44

Diener et al. (2015; LBG) and Chiang et al. (2015; LAE).



Lee et al. (2016): See a large overdensity in our absorption map at high significance, correlated with LBG and LAE overdensities. Comparison with sims gives  $M(z = 0) \simeq (3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{14} h^{-1}$ Mpc (Virgo). Possible fragmentation into two  $z \simeq 0$  clusters.

#### Protocluster Candidate: z = 2.47

Casey et al. (2015), Hershel sub-mm overdensity (also seen in LBGs).



#### Protocluster Candidate: z = 2.51

X-ray detected (proto-)cluster: Wang et al. (2016)



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

## Improving photo-zs

Low density regions occupy most of the volume of the Universe, but host essentially no massive halos (or galaxies). Knowledge of the density field can improve photo-*zs*!



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

#### Cosmic web classification

- One can use a deformation tensor approach to characterize the cosmic web.
  - Compute  $T_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = (k_i k_j / k^2) \delta_F(\mathbf{k})$
  - Solve for e-values, λ<sub>k</sub>, count number less than a given threshold, λ<sub>th</sub>.
- For achievable resolution and S/N we can classify 70% of the volume correctly compared to the DM, and 99% within 1 eigenvalue.
- ► Our performane at z ≃ 2.5 is comparable to the performance of GAMA, using the same method, at z ≃ 0.25.

#### Cosmic web classification



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Cosmic web classification



Halo multiplicity function and (normalized) distribution of overdensities (in  $1 h^{-3}$ Mpc<sup>3</sup> voxels) classified by cosmic web component.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ